

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/045,946	01/15/2002	Carl E. Cupit	CUPIT001 2439		
7590 02:24/2005			EXAMINER		
Richard L. Moseley			WACHTEL, ALEXIS A		
P.O. BOX 6307			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HOUSTON, T	X 77263		<u> </u>	PAPER NUMBER	
			1764		
			TO 4 (TOTAL 4.4 A. 4 (17 (17)) 0.0 (0.4 (0.0))		

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				// /
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	- V
		10/045,946 CUPIT, CARL E.		
Office Action Sumn	nary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Alexis Wachtel	1764	
The MAILING DATE of this of Period for Reply	communication appo	ears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addres	is
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CC - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of - If the period for reply specified above is less ti If NO period for reply is specified above, the m - Failure to reply within the set or extended peri - Any reply received by the Office later than thre earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	MMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 f this communication. tan thirty (30) days, a reply laximum statutory period wi od for reply will, by statute, the months after the mailing	6(a). In no event, however, may a rej within the statutory minimum of thirty Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this commu NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status				
1) Responsive to communication	on(s) filed on 15 Jai	nuary 2002.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .		action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in coclosed in accordance with the				rits is
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending i 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowe 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are object 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to	is/are withdrawed.	·		
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected	to by the Examiner			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	_ is/are: a)□ acce	pted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that			• •	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) 11) The oath or declaration is obj				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	ne of: priority documents priority documents copies of the priori ternational Bureau	have been received. have been received in Ap ty documents have been r (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stac	je
A 44 . 1		•		
Attachment(s)		5 □ 1-1-1 - 5		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing I 	Review (PTO-948)		mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3-1-02</u> .	0-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1764

Detailed Action

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. Clearly recited computational steps critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). In particular, the Spec on pp. 5, lines 10-23, pp.6, lines 1-7 merely delineate in an unclear manner the computational steps (algorithms) required to generate data used in the claimed method. Applicant has failed to provided illustrative examples of the method in practice.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 4,176,052 to Bruce et al.

Page 3

Art Unit: 1764

With respect to claim 1, Bruce et al teach a level system for detecting a foam level in a delayed coking drum comprising:

- (a) a plurality of radiation detectors mounted length wise along the height of the coke drum (Col 9, lines 9-19);
- (b) a radiation source mounted on the coke drum opposite said radiation detectors (Col 8, lines 31-40);
- (c) each of said radiation detectors being calibrated to read one hundred per cent level when no radiation is detected. Examiner notes that radiation detectors are capable of being calibrated to read at any percentage level

With respect to claim 2, wherein each of said radiation detectors is spaced apart a nominal distance along the height of said drum (Col 9, lines 9-19).

With respect to claim 3, wherein each of said radiation detectors is placed end to end along the height of said drum (Col 9, lines 9-19). Examiner notes that Bruce et al broadly enables for multiple placement of radiation detectors along at different elevations in a coke drum which would not preclude placement of detectors end to end along height of said drum.

With respect to claims 4-9, Bruce et al broadly enables for multiple placement of radiation detectors along at different elevations in a coke drum which would not preclude placement of detectors end to end along height of said drum whereby a radiation source is placed on said drum opposite said radiation detectors (Col 8, lines 31-40). The radiation detectors are configured to measure radiation emitted from radiation sources. When foam is present over a radiation

marker, the detector corresponding to that radiation marker/source does not detect radiation thus signaling that a specific level of foam has been reached (Col 3, lines 10-18) which reads on limitations of claim 4 (e-f). With the enablement of the use of multiple spaced radiation sources and radiation detectors, Bruce et al enables for measuring foam levels along the height of the entire coke drum. Inherently, a second radiation detector (located at a higher vertical position than a first radiation) whose radiation readings start dropping requires that the first radiation detector not be detecting any radiation (which reads on a calibration to zero radiation detection) since foam would have at least reached a point blocking radiation at the first radiation detector level. Examiner notes that the use of radiation detectors constitutes a means of accounting for the changing densities of the foam in the drum over the height of the coke drum. Additionally, Examiner notes that Bruce et al thusly reads on the limitations of claims 4-9.

Prior Art of Record

5. The prior art of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure. In addition, the following references are cited for disclosing various aspects of Applicant's invention:

US 4,755,677; US 3,501,632; US 4,727,247; US 4,884,457; US 5,132,917; US 5,127,772; US 5,028,311; US 5,667,669; US 4,344,819

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alex Wachtel whose telephone number is 571-272-1455. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:30am to 6:30pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Glenn Caldarola, can be reached at (571)-272-1444. The fax

Art Unit: 1764

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

au

Glenn Caidarola Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700